Binary Dependent Variables

- Examples
- 2 Latent variable framework
- Probit
- 4 Logit
- Maximum likelihood estimation
- Interpretation

э

Examples



Jayjit Roy (ASU)

→ ∃→ October 17, 2023

Image: A math and A

æ

Examples (cont.)



Jayjit Roy (ASU)

Image: A math and A

→ ∃→

æ

4/18

Latent Variable Framework

• Latent (unobserved) variable

$$y^* = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + u$$

• Such that

$$y = 0 \text{ if } y^* < 0$$

= 1 if $y^* \ge 0$

э

Latent Variable Framework (cont.)

• Observe
$$y = 1$$
 if
 $u + \beta_0 + \beta_1 z \stackrel{>}{\geq} 0$
 $u \ge -\beta_0 - \beta_1 z$
• Thus
 $P(y = 1|x) = P(u \ge)$
• $P(y = 1|x)$ bounded between 0 and 1
 $P(u \ge -\beta_0 - \beta_1 z)$

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト

Probit

u follows N(0,1)



Jayjit Roy (ASU)

< A → <

→ ∃→

Probit (cont.)



(a)

8/18

Probit (cont.)

• Due to symmetry of N(0,1)

 $P(y = 1|x) = P(u \ge) \qquad P(u \ge -\beta_0 - \beta_1 x)$ $= P(u \le) \qquad P(u \le \beta_0 + \beta_1 x)$ $= \underbrace{\beta_0}_{\beta_0} \text{ and } \widehat{\beta}_1 \text{ - from maximum likelihood estimation (MLE)}$

= 0.05

1.96

-1.96

Logit

u follows logistic distribution



Jayjit Roy (ASU)

글 > 글

10/18

Logit (cont.)



Jayjit Roy (ASU)

October 17, 2023 1

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

11/18

Logit (cont.)

• Due to symmetry of the logistic distribution

•
$$\widehat{\beta}_{0}$$
 and $\widehat{\beta}_{1}$ - from MLE

$$P(y = 1|x) = P(u \ge -\beta_{0} - \beta_{1}x)$$

$$= P(u \le \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x)$$

$$= 2xp(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x)$$

$$| + exp(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x)$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Example

- 20% of population below 15 years
- Random sample of 3 people
- ▶ Joint probability or likelihood (L) of 2 under 15 and 1 over 15

 $L = 0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.8$

= 0.03

Maximum Likelihood Estimation (cont.)

- Example
 - *p*_{insured}: probability of insured
 - ▶ Random sample of 3 people: 2 insured and 1 uninsured
 - Joint probability or likelihood (L) of observing this

$$L = p_{insured} \times p_{insured} \times (1 - p_{insured})$$

$$= p_{insured}^2 - p_{insured}^3$$

$$P_{insured}$$

MLE finds p_{insured} that maximizes L

value that maximizes L : \$ = 2/3

Pins = 0, 0.5, 0.7

Try diff.

Use calculus,

=> L=0,0.125,0.147

Maximum Likelihood Estimation (cont.)

\mathcal{X}_i : it obs. of \mathcal{X}

• In case of probit or logit with y = 1 and y = 0 for insured and uninsured

•
$$P(y=1|x) = G(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x)$$
 and

$$L = G(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1) \times G(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_2) \times [1 - G(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_3)]$$

• MLE finds $\widehat{\beta}_0$ and $\widehat{\beta}_1$ that maximizes L or log(L)

$$log(L) = log[\dots x_1] + log[\dots x_2] + log[\dots x_3]$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation (cont.)

Nonlinear optimization



geeksforgeeks.org

Interpretation

- Probit continuous x $\frac{\Delta P(y=1|x)}{\Delta x} = (std.normal density at z) * \beta,$ Logit - continuous x $\frac{\Delta P(y=1|x)}{\Delta x} = (1 \text{ egist ic density} \text{ at } \mathbf{z}) \times \beta_1$
- The effects depend on x

Interpretation (cont.)

- Typically calculate
 - Effect at the average value of x
 - The average of the effects across all values of x