Multiple Regression Analysis

Motivation

- 2 Estimation
- Sected Value
- 4 Variance

Motivation

- Examples
- Ceteris Paribus: Public vs. Private University
 - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iPBV3B1V7jk&list= PL-uRhZ_p-BM5ovNRg-G6hDib270CvcyW8&index=2
- Interpretation

▲ 西部

Estimation Ass
$$\beta s$$
: $E(\omega) = 0$
 $F(\omega) (\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, ..., \varkappa_k) = E(\omega) = 0$
 $E((\varkappa_1, \omega) = 0), E((\varkappa_2, \omega) = 0)$
• Model with k independent variables $\sum F((\varkappa_k, \omega) = 0)$
 $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + ... + \beta_k x_k + u$
• Objective: estimate $\beta_0, \beta_1, ..., \beta_k$ $u = y - \beta_0 - \beta_1 \varkappa_1 - ... - E((y - \beta_0 - \beta_1 \varkappa_1 - ... - \beta_k \varkappa_k) = 0)$
 $E[(\varkappa_1, (y - \beta_0 - \beta_1 \varkappa_1 - ... - \beta_k \varkappa_k)] = 0$
 $E[(\varkappa_k, (y - \beta_0 - \beta_1 \varkappa_1 - ... - \beta_k \varkappa_k)] = 0$
 $E[(\varkappa_k, (y - \beta_0 - \beta_1 \varkappa_1 - ... - \beta_k \varkappa_k)] = 0$

Jayjit Roy (ASU)

•

Estimation (cont.) e.g.
$$\chi_{1} \rightarrow educ$$

 $exper_{i} \rightarrow \chi_{i2} \qquad \chi_{2} \rightarrow exper$
 $educ_{i} \rightarrow \chi_{i1}$

$$n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i) \widehat{\beta}_0 - \widehat{\beta}(x_{i1}) - \dots - \widehat{\beta}(x_{ik}) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i1} (y_i - \widehat{\beta}_0 - \widehat{\beta}_1 x_{i1} - \dots - \widehat{\beta}_k x_{ik}) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{ik}\left(y_{i}-\widehat{\beta}_{0}-\widehat{\beta}_{1}x_{i1}-\ldots-\widehat{\beta}_{k}x_{ik}\right)=\mathbf{O}$$

 x_{ij} : observation *i* for variable x_j

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

.



э

6 / 25

Example

y (wage)	x_1 (educ)	x ₂ (exper)
3.1	11	2
3.2	12	22
3	11	2
6	8	44
5.3	12	7
8.8	16	9
11	18	15
5	12	5
3.6	12	26
18	17	22

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

$$\overline{y} - \widehat{\beta}_0 - \widehat{\beta}_1 \overline{x_1} - \widehat{\beta}_2 \overline{x_2} = 0$$

$$\overline{x_1 y} - \widehat{\beta}_0 \overline{x_1} - \widehat{\beta}_1 \overline{(x_1)^2} - \widehat{\beta}_2 \overline{x_1 x_2} = 0$$

$$\overline{x_2 y} - \widehat{\beta}_0 \overline{x_2} - \widehat{\beta}_1 \overline{x_1 x_2} - \widehat{\beta}_2 \overline{(x_2)^2} = 0$$

$$\begin{array}{l} 6.742 - \widehat{\beta}_0 - 12.9\widehat{\beta}_1 - 15.4\widehat{\beta}_2 = 0\\ 97.234 - 12.9\widehat{\beta}_0 - 175.1\widehat{\beta}_1 - 190.4\widehat{\beta}_2 = 0\\ 115.064 - 15.4\widehat{\beta}_0 - 190.4\widehat{\beta}_1 - 396.8\widehat{\beta}_2 = 0 \end{array}$$

 $\widehat{eta}_0 = -12.317$ $\widehat{eta}_1 = 1.312$ $\widehat{eta}_2 = 0.138$

8/25

Sum/avg. value of residuals = 0 Correl. b/w residuals & each explanatory Properties of OLS variable = 0

correl. b/w residuals & fitted value = 0 If each $x_j = \overline{x_j}, \hat{y} = \overline{y}$.

3

••

Goodness-of-fit

R-squared

$$R^{2} = \frac{SSE}{SST}$$
$$= 1 - \frac{SSR}{SST}$$

Non-decreasing in the number of independent variables, kssk

• Adjusted R-squared $\bar{R}^2 = \int \frac{(n-k-1)}{(n-k-1)}$ As $k \uparrow$, $SSR \downarrow$ but (n-k-1) also \downarrow . \bar{R}^2 may \downarrow or \uparrow $\underbrace{SST}_{(n-k-1)}$ Expected Value

Expected Value $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \varkappa_1 + \beta_2 \varkappa_2 + \ldots + \beta_{\varkappa} \varkappa_{\varkappa} + U$ $so ft. age #BR_s$ quality hprice

Under certain assumptions, the OLS estimators are unbiased so that

wage = $\beta_0 + \beta_1$ age $E(\hat{\beta}_j) = \beta_j \quad j = 0, 1, ..., k$ · Assumptions + B2 educ + B3 exper + ... + Br 2rtu > Variatim in each regressor (MLR.1) Linear in Parameters (MLR.2) Random Sampling (MLR.3) No Perfect Collinearity (MLR.4) Zero Conditional Mean No linear age = 6 + oduc + exper relationship among $E(\mathcal{U}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1},\boldsymbol{x}_{2},...,\boldsymbol{x}_{k}) = O$ explanatory vars. $\eta \ge K+1$ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 の々ぐ

Omitted Variable Bias

- Will You Make More Going to a Private University?
 - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6YrIDhaUQOE
- Population model satisfying the assumptions (MLR.1) to (MLR.4)

$$\begin{split} y &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + u \\ \text{wage} &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{educ} + \beta_2 \text{IQ} + u \\ \text{bweight} &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{smoking} + \beta_2 \text{alcohol} + u \end{split}$$



- Fitted values from the regression where x_2 is omitted \checkmark

$$\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 x_2$$

$$\widehat{wage} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 edue + \beta_2 IQ$$

$$\widehat{bweight} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 s_mok$$

• Fitted values from the regression of x_2 on x_1

$$\widetilde{x_2} = \widetilde{\delta}_0 + \widetilde{\delta}_1 x_1$$

$$\widetilde{IQ} = \widetilde{\delta}_0 + \widetilde{\delta}_1 \text{ educ}$$

$$\widetilde{alcohol} = \widetilde{\delta}_0 + \widetilde{\delta}_1 \text{ smoking}$$

13 / 25

· P. P.

- Relationship between $\tilde{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ $\tilde{\beta}_1 = \tilde{\beta}_1 + \tilde{\beta}_2 \delta_1$
- If β_1 is estimated with x_2 omitted $E(\tilde{\beta}_1) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \widetilde{\delta}_1$ Bias = $\beta_2 \widetilde{\delta}_1$ Bias depends on Bias • B2 & correl. b/w x, and X2 if B2 08 3 14 / 25

Expected Value (co	nt.)	\sim	تبطن با
$y = \beta_0 + \beta(x_1) + \beta(x_2)$	$\beta_2 \chi_2 + \beta_3 \chi_3 + \beta_3 $	u) -> politi	cal Inismo
1 1	imput	Sinford	ruture
FDI ENV. Je	f. poice	S complicated di	erivation
 Additional explanat 	ory variables	if U corr.	f bias with Z
 Other sources of bi Inclusion of irreleva 	as nt regressors	but not x	2 and 23
Exercise caution		OLS estin	nators
waye = Bot Biedue	$+\beta_2 IQ + \beta_3 expension$	ors of all	p 's
measurement	+ By discorim. + Be occupi	biased if	'z _ı
error in 2 or	ry, +u	Coro. w/ x.	and te)
(eg. coime)	$\chi \rightarrow \eta$	23.	alaction
simultaneity	police come PC PC	date observesh	rved if)
Jayjit Roy (ASU)	ECO 5720	February 7,	2024 15/25

• n = 500, reps = 500, corr $(x_1, x_2 = 0.4)$, corr $(x_1, u = 0)$, corr $(x_2, u = 0)$ • y = 1 + 2 + u



→ ∃ →

3

A = A = A = A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

• n = 500, reps = 500, corr($x_1, x_2 = 0.4$), corr($x_1, u = -0.6$), $corr(x_2, u = 0.2)$

•
$$y = 1 + 2x_1 + x_2 + u$$



3

Image: A matrix

• n = 500, reps = 500, corr($x_1, x_2 = 0.4$), corr($x_1, u = 0$), $corr(x_2, u = 0.6)$

•
$$y = 1 + 2x_1 + x_2 + u$$



3

< A

Variance

Model

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \ldots + \beta_k x_k + u$$

$$Var(u|x_1, x_2, ..., x_k) = 0^{-2}$$

Alternatively

$$Var(y|x_1, x_2, ..., x_k) = 0^{-2}$$

Image: Image:

• If $Var(u|x_1, x_2, ..., x_k)$ depends on $x_j \longrightarrow$ heteroskedasticity

• Under Assumptions MLR.1 to MLR.5 (Gauss Markov assumptions)



۱,

- $Var(\hat{eta}_j)\uparrow$ with σ^2 and thus $may\downarrow$ with additional regressors
- $Var(\hat{\beta}_j) \downarrow$ with SST_j and thus likely to \downarrow with n
- Var(β̂_j) ↑ with R²_j
 R²_j "close" to one the "problem" of multicollinearity;
 R²_j = 1 ruled out by
 MLR. 3
 Ass ?s regd.

for unbiasedness

• n = 1000, reps = 500, corr($x_1, x_2 = 0.4$), corr($x_1, u = 0$), $\operatorname{corr}(x_2, u = 0)$ • $y = 1 + 2x_1 + x_2 + u$



< A[™]

• n = 1000, reps = 500, corr($x_1, x_2 = 0.99$), corr($x_1, u = 0$), $\operatorname{corr}(x_2, u = 0)$ • $y = 1 + 2x_1 + x_2 + u$



3

< □ > < /□ >

- Additional thoughts on the inclusion of irrelevant regressors
 - ▶ May \uparrow Var $(\hat{\beta}_j)$ if P high ▶ Likely to \downarrow Var $(\hat{\beta}_j)$ " Inv

э

Image: Image:

Variance (cont.) k : # of regressors e.g. $n - (\kappa + i) : n - \#$ of $\beta's$ SLR: k = i

• Under MLR.1 to MLR.5, $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is an unbiased estimator of σ^2

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = (n-k-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2$$

- $\hat{\sigma}$: standard error of the regression
- Standard error of each $\hat{\beta}_j$

$$se(\hat{eta}_j) = rac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{SST_j(1-R_j^2)}} ext{ except } j = 0$$